NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

necessary to treat this patient's condition.

May 7, 2002

<u>Requestor</u>	<u>Respondent</u>
RE: Injured Worker: MDR Tracking #: IRO Certificate #:	
(TWCC) has assigned	has been certified by the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) as an ganization (IRO). The Texas Workers' Compensation Commission I the above referenced case to for independent review in C Rule §133.308 which allows for medical dispute resolution by an IRO.
adverse determination any documents utilized	ned an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the in was appropriate. In performing this review, relevant medical records, d by the parties referenced above in making the adverse determination, and written information submitted in support of the appeal was
in anesthesiology which reviewer has signed a between him or her ar providers who reviewed independent review. I	ew was performed by a physician reviewer who is board certified ch is the same specialty as the treating physician. The physician certification statement stating that no known conflicts of interest exist and any of the treating physicians or providers or any of the physicians or ed the case for a determination prior to the referral to for a addition, the reviewer has certified that the review was performed linst any party to this case.
Clinical History	
and sustained a spina as well as lumbar spin	le was initially injured on when she hit her head on the top of a cab I cord injury. This required surgery on the cervical spine in June of 2000, he surgeries x 5. The patient has post surgical collection consistent with a evel and extending down the left to the L5-S1 level.
Requested Service(s)	
Racz catheter procedu	ure x 3 days with fluoroscopy.
<u>Decision</u>	
It is determined that th	ne Racz catheter procedure x 3 days with fluoroscopy is not medically

Page 2	
Rationale/Basis for Decision	
Previous caudal epidural steroid injections with a spring tipped (Racz) catheter has not relieved the pain and there is no medical indication to repeat the injections. There is no evidence that repeating this procedure for 3 days or using a different agent, i.e. hypertonic saline is better than the procedure performed by Dr which did not provide long term relief. In addition, the diffuse nature of the pain and prior extensive surgeries predict a poor outcome from any injection therapy. Oral medications should be tried to determine which medication best alleviates or reduces her pain level as well as a trial of physical therapy.	
This decision by the IRO is deemed to be a TWCC decision and order.	
YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING	
Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code '148.3). This Decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed (28 Tex. Admin. Code '102.4(h) or 102.5(d)). A request for hearing, along with a copy of this decision notice, should be sent to:	
Chief Clerk of Proceedings Texas Workers' Compensation Commission P.O. Box 40669 Austin, Texas 78704-0012.	
The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute.	
Sincerely,	
cc: David Martinez, Chief Medical Dispute Resolution, Medical Review Division, TWCC	
I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on thisday of2002.	

Signature of IRO Employee:

Printed Name of IRO Employee: